

7 PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY  
8 OF NATHANIEL P. LINDER  
9 ON BEHALF OF NORTHWESTERN ENERGY  
10

11 TABLE OF CONTENTS

12	<u>Description</u>	<u>Starting Page No.</u>
13	Witness Information	2
14	Purpose and Summary of Testimony	3
15	Enhanced Wildfire Mitigation Plan – Vegetation Management	4
16	Tariff Rule 9	12
17		
18	<u>Exhibits</u>	
19	Proposed Redlines to Tariff Rule 9 – Electric	Exhibit NPL-1
20	Proposed Redlines to Tariff Rule 9 – Natural Gas	Exhibit NPL-2
21	Proposed Redlines to Tariff Rule 9 – Propane	Exhibit NPL-3

22

1 **Witness Information**

2 **Q. Please provide your name, employer, and title.**

3 **A.** My name is Nathaniel P. Linder. I am Manager of Central Maintenance for  
4 NorthWestern Energy’s (“NorthWestern” or “Company”) Transmission and  
5 Distribution Operations Department.

6  
7 **Q. Please provide a description of your relevant employment  
8 experience and other professional qualifications.**

9 **A.** I have worked in the electric and natural gas utility industry for 14 years  
10 and have been in my current position with NorthWestern since 2016. In  
11 this position, I am responsible for the planning and execution of various  
12 operations maintenance activities across all of NorthWestern’s operating  
13 areas. These maintenance activities include programs related to pole  
14 inspection, natural gas pipeline cathodic protection, damage prevention,  
15 gas and electric metering, and vegetation management. Prior to my  
16 current position, I held positions within the Company responsible for  
17 electric and natural gas distribution engineering and construction.

18  
19 I am a registered Professional Engineer in the State of Montana. I  
20 graduated from Montana State University – Bozeman with a Bachelor of  
21 Science degree in Mechanical Engineering in 1998 and received my  
22 Masters in Business Administration from the University of Phoenix in  
23 2005.

1 **Purpose and Summary of Testimony**

2 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?**

3 **A.** The purpose of my testimony is to provide details related to the vegetation  
4 management component of NorthWestern’s Enhanced Wildfire Mitigation  
5 Plan (“Wildfire Plan”). This will include the proposed investments and  
6 benefits in both existing vegetation management maintenance programs  
7 as well as the addition of new vegetation management activities to reduce  
8 NorthWestern’s wildfire risk profile.

9  
10 In addition to the above, my testimony provides details on the proposed  
11 changes to Tariff Rule 9 related to the schedule and testing requirements  
12 of electric and gas meters.

13  
14 **Q. Please summarize your testimony.**

15 **A.** In my testimony, I first describe the basics of NorthWestern’s Vegetation  
16 Management Program. I then describe the Hazard Tree Program and the  
17 role this program plays in mitigating the risk due to the Mountain Pine  
18 Beetle (“MPB”) epidemic. I next provide details on how the Hazard Tree  
19 Program is evolving due to changing conditions and discuss how the next  
20 phase of this program is just one activity of the larger vegetation  
21 management component of the Wildfire Plan attached to the Pre-filed  
22 Direct Testimony of Gregory F. Bailly. I then further describe at a high

1 level each vegetation-specific component, related benefit, and estimated  
2 incremental cost.

3

4 Lastly, I describe the proposed changes to Rule 9 of the respective  
5 electric, natural gas, and propane tariff language as related to meter  
6 testing.

7

8 **Enhanced Wildfire Mitigation Plan – Vegetation Management**

9 **Q. Mr. Bailly discusses NorthWestern’s Wildfire Plan noting that it includes**  
10 **vegetation management. Please describe NorthWestern’s Vegetation**  
11 **Management Program.**

12 **A.** NorthWestern’s Vegetation Management Program is designed to manage the  
13 vegetation in and along our utility corridors in a safe and reliable manner. A  
14 utility corridor includes vegetation within the easement (right-of-way) as well as  
15 trees along the easement that are identified as needing corrective action.  
16 NorthWestern’s program design includes proactive circuit maintenance,  
17 internal patrols to identify vegetation issues, and customer notifications of tree  
18 issues.

19

20 Recognizing the difference between our transmission and distribution systems,  
21 NorthWestern establishes specific vegetation management protocols to  
22 effectively manage the vegetation within each system. For the distribution  
23 system, NorthWestern manages proactive circuit pruning using a two-pronged

1 approach combining a performance-based model with a standard time-based  
2 cycle. Distribution line assessments, performed on approximately half the  
3 system per year, piggyback on the resource performing the maintenance  
4 inspection to capture observable vegetation exceptions. Lastly, NorthWestern  
5 investigates and schedules corrective actions as needed for vegetation-based  
6 inquiries from customers.

7  
8 The transmission vegetation management protocol is similar to distribution, but  
9 also includes a compliance component as required per the latest version of the  
10 North American Electric Reliability Corporation (“NERC”) Standard FAC-003.  
11 NorthWestern assesses the entire transmission system annually via aerial  
12 assessments to identify immediate vegetation exceptions as well as gain  
13 situational awareness for prioritizing transmission segments requiring  
14 proactive maintenance. NorthWestern performs a second aerial assessment  
15 on lines specifically applicable to NERC Standard FAC-003 at the beginning of  
16 summer to confirm those paths are free of vegetation issues as required by the  
17 standard.

18  
19 In addition to the activities described above, related vegetation management  
20 responsibilities include the management of weeds in our substations, gate  
21 stations, material storage locations, and construction sites. Construction sites  
22 also often require re-seeding to establish new compatible vegetation as  
23 appropriate.

1 **Q. How does the Vegetation Management Program differ from the Hazard**  
2 **Tree Program authorized in NorthWestern’s last electric general rate**  
3 **review?**

4 **A.** The Vegetation Management Program is a maintenance program to manage  
5 the vegetation in and along our utility corridors in a safe and reliable manner.  
6 The Vegetation Management Program was designed around managing stable  
7 and healthy forests. The Hazard Tree Program is a supplemental program  
8 established to combat the extreme vegetation die-off due to the MPB  
9 infestation. The number of dead and dying trees along our utility corridors  
10 went from a couple of trees to hundreds, and even thousands, of trees that  
11 needed to be removed to reduce the likelihood of falling into NorthWestern’s  
12 facilities.

13  
14 The Vegetation Management Program did not have the necessary funding to  
15 manage this huge increase in dead trees, so the Asset Management team  
16 developed a specific initiative to successfully manage this natural occurrence.  
17 NorthWestern formally titled this initiative “The Hazard Tree Program” and the  
18 funding allowed NorthWestern to proactively manage the structurally  
19 compromised pine trees due to the MPB infestation.

20  
21 **Q. Are there any planned changes with the Hazard Tree Program for the**  
22 **future?**

1 **A.** Yes and no. In regards to funding, no changes are currently anticipated in the  
2 short term. However, the original data set used to develop the Hazard Tree  
3 Program focused on the MPB infestation. In addition, the availability and  
4 accessibility of public data issued by the Montana Department of Natural  
5 Resources and Conservation was not as common at that time. Due to these  
6 limiting factors, the parameters used to develop the Hazard Tree Program  
7 resulted in unintended gaps in managing the entirety of all vegetation risk. As  
8 a part of the Wildfire Plan, the Hazard Tree Program is evolving to a more  
9 comprehensive strategy to mitigate all at-risk vegetation. This revised  
10 strategy, now simply titled “Risk Tree Program”, encompasses all the previous  
11 factors while folding in a broader data model considering additional risk factors  
12 such as terrain, accessibility, vegetation density, wildfire history, and prevailing  
13 wind direction, and it places greater importance on operations within the  
14 Wildland Urban Interface (“WUI”).

15  
16 So, in summary, the original Hazard Tree Program has evolved and is now  
17 referred to as the Risk Tree Program due to the introduction of the above  
18 enhancements to protect the system against the changing vegetation risks. In  
19 that sense – the program is changing. However, from a funding perspective,  
20 the Risk Tree Program works in conjunction with the broader Vegetation  
21 Management Program, each providing specific benefits toward reducing  
22 known risks. Assuming the implementation of the entire Vegetation  
23 Management Program set forth in the Wildfire Plan, the Risk Tree Program

1 appears to be appropriately funded at current levels given current factors and  
2 conditions, and thus from that perspective, the Risk Tree Program's funding is  
3 not proposed or recommended to change.

4

5 **Q. Are there additional initiatives or enhancements to other parts of the**  
6 **Vegetation Management Program as part of the overall Wildfire Plan?**

7 **A.** Yes. In general, managing the risks associated with vegetation is a key part of  
8 all utility wildfire planning initiatives. NorthWestern is no exception. In  
9 particular, eight key areas are identified to institute new programs or  
10 accelerate/enhance existing programs. Collectively, these program changes  
11 provide the additional vegetation hardening to lower NorthWestern's overall  
12 risk level. NorthWestern's Wildfire Plan contains the detailed information for all  
13 applicable vegetation management components.

14

15 **Q. Why is the expanded Vegetation Management Program necessary?**

16 **A.** The original best practices related to utility vegetation management put an  
17 emphasis on three main components: public safety (climbable trees),  
18 compliance (transmission), and service reliability. This strategy has served  
19 NorthWestern well for years. However, our operating environment is much  
20 different from yesteryear, both from where our communities are being built to  
21 the health of both urban and rural forests. These components are adding to  
22 the complexity of an already difficult task surrounding the management of a  
23 living organism. Due to this changing operating landscape, the vegetation

1 management scope within the Wildfire Plan strengthens the existing program  
2 while increasing the awareness and focus of risk mitigation for vegetation-  
3 related faults.

4

5 **Q. How will the Vegetation Management Program assist NorthWestern in**  
6 **providing safe and reliable service to customers?**

7 **A.** NorthWestern has always and will continue to invest as necessary to deliver  
8 safe, sustainable energy to our customers. Our delivery system has been  
9 remarkably reliable for years, especially given the geographically diverse and  
10 challenging terrain and conditions in which we operate. Vegetation  
11 management, combined with many other operating and maintenance  
12 programs, is a key part of NorthWestern's commitment to serve our  
13 customers. As such, NorthWestern is committed to a program improvement  
14 process, which continually analyzes vegetation-related needs and implements  
15 programs that provide the value our customers expect while maintaining safe  
16 and reliable system operations.

17

18 **Q. What were the costs for NorthWestern's Vegetation Management**  
19 **Program during the 2021 test year and expected for 2022?**

20 **A.** Table 1 below contains both the 2021 actual cost as well as budgeted costs for  
21 2022 for both transmission and distribution systems as indicated for vegetation  
22 management.

23

**Table 1: Vegetation Management**

<b>System</b>	<b>2021 Actual</b>	<b>2022 Budgeted</b>
Transmission	\$ 2,916,696	\$ 1,450,000
Distribution	\$ 6,628,735	\$ 8,789,377

1 **Q. How much additional funding will be necessary to implement and**  
2 **execute the Vegetation Management component of the Wildfire Plan**  
3 **during its first five years?**

4 **A.** NorthWestern estimates that this component of the Wildfire Plan will be  
5 approximately \$47.7 million in operating expenses over the first five years.  
6 NorthWestern’s proposal for cost recovery of the Wildfire Plan is  
7 discussed in detail in the Pre-filed Direct Testimony of Cynthia S. Fang.

8  
9 **Q. Please break down those additional costs.**

10 **A.** The estimated five-year costs for Vegetation Management can be found in  
11 NorthWestern Energy’s Enhanced Wildfire Mitigation Plan included as  
12 Exhibit GFB-1 attached to the Pre-filed Direct Testimony of Gregory F.  
13 Bailly.

14  
15 **Q. Please provide a high-level overview of what those costs will cover.**

16 **A.** A high-level overview of each of the incremental vegetation components is  
17 presented above in Table 1. A detailed description of each of the

1 incremental vegetation components is detailed within the 'Vegetation  
2 Management' section of the Wildfire Plan (see Section 3.4).

3

4 **Q. How did NorthWestern derive these estimates?**

5 **A.** The total estimated five-year vegetation management cost in the Wildfire  
6 Plan is an aggregate of all the individual vegetation-related components of  
7 the plan. Each component of the plan uses varying methods in estimating  
8 the expected cost, but the basic cost model uses a historical cost per unit  
9 extended to the proposed scope moving forward.

10

11 **Q. How often does NorthWestern evaluate its Vegetation Management  
12 Program?**

13 **A.** There is not a formal evaluation process for the Vegetation Management  
14 Program. However, the absence of a specific formal process does not mean  
15 the programs associated with vegetation management are void of  
16 evaluation. One of the easiest evaluation factors for effectiveness is  
17 reliability-based metrics. While this is a lagging indicator of program  
18 performance, it does provide critical data on how the program is performing.  
19 It is common for NorthWestern subject matter experts to review system  
20 reliability, cost, and work completion data on a quarterly basis for overall  
21 situational awareness and program effectiveness.

22

23

1 **Q. Please describe the evaluation process.**

2 **A.** As described above, the Vegetation Management Program was established  
3 to provide safe, reliable energy delivery. The dedicated staff at  
4 NorthWestern is committed to that mission. In fact, on a macro-level,  
5 feedback received from NorthWestern's vegetation coordinators instigated  
6 the Company's review and analysis related to what eventually became the  
7 Hazard Tree Program. They appropriately raised the awareness level of the  
8 changing vegetation risk associated with the MPB infestation. In a more  
9 generic evaluation scenario, there is a relatively consistent review of work  
10 performed versus cost to complete on a circuit-by-circuit level.  
11 NorthWestern compares this data to historical averages to gain awareness  
12 of changing conditions and impacts to future scope and budgets.

13

14 **Tariff Rule 9**

15 **Q. What proposed tariff changes are you supporting in this docket?**

16 **A.** I support the changes to Section 9-5 for Tariff Rule 9 for Electric, Natural  
17 Gas, and Propane.

18

19 **Q. Please explain the proposed changes to Electric Tariff Rule 9,  
20 including the reason for the proposed changes.**

21 **A.** NorthWestern proposes to remove most of the details of the testing  
22 program that is currently contained in Section 9-5 B and instead refer to  
23 NorthWestern's Electric Meter Testing Program. Having this much detail

1 within the rule hinders the ability to react to changes in industry standards,  
2 industry best practices, changes in metering equipment, and program  
3 changes that may be in the best interest of all stakeholders, customers,  
4 regulators, and NorthWestern. As the rule exists today, even the smallest  
5 of change or adjustment by the industry may be out of compliance with the  
6 rule. NorthWestern's Electric Meter Testing Program document is  
7 available upon request. NorthWestern's proposed redlined changes to  
8 Electric Tariff Rule 9 are in Exhibit NPL-1.

9

10 **Q. Please identify the proposed changes to the Natural Gas Tariff Rule**  
11 **9, including the reason for the proposed changes.**

12 **A.** NorthWestern proposes to remove most of the details of the testing  
13 program that is currently contained in Section 9-5 B and instead refer to  
14 NorthWestern's Gas Meter Testing Program. Similar to the electric tariff  
15 rule, having this much detail within the rule hinders the ability to react to  
16 changes in industry standards, industry best practices, changes in  
17 metering equipment, and program changes that may be in the best  
18 interest of all stakeholders, customers, regulators, and NorthWestern.  
19 NorthWestern's Gas Meter Testing Program document is available upon  
20 request. NorthWestern's proposed redlined changes to Natural Gas Tariff  
21 Rule 9 are in Exhibit NPL-2.

22

1 **Q. Please identify the proposed changes to the Propane Tariff Rule 9,**  
2 **including the reason for the proposed changes.**

3 **A.** NorthWestern's proposed changes to the Propane Rule 9 are the same as  
4 those are articulated for the Natural Gas Rule 9 above. NorthWestern's  
5 proposed redlined changes to Propane Tariff Rule 9 are in Exhibit NPL-3.

6

7 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

8 **A.** Yes, it does.

### **VERIFICATION**

This Pre-filed Direct Testimony of Nathaniel P. Linder is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

/s/ Nathaniel P. Linder  
Nathaniel P. Linder