

1 Montana Public Service Commission
2 Docket No. 2022.07.078
3 Electric and Natural Gas General Rate Review
4
5
6

7 PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY
8 OF JOSEPH M. STIMATZ
9 ON BEHALF OF NORTHWESTERN ENERGY
10

11 TABLE OF CONTENTS

12 <u>Description</u>	<u>Starting Page No.</u>
13 Witness Information	2
14 Purpose and Summary of Testimony	2
15 PCCAM Base Costs Update	3
16 PCCAM Base Costs Update - Methodology	11
17 PCCAM Base Costs - Annual Updates	14
18 Changes in the Market	18
19 Resource Adequacy	20
20 Western Energy Imbalance Market	22
21	
22 <u>Exhibits</u>	
23 PowerSimm PCCAM Base	Exhibit JMS-1
24 PowerSimm Inputs	Exhibit JMS-2
25 PowerSimm Outputs	Exhibit JMS-3
26 Capacity Contribution and Position	Exhibit JMS-4

1 **Witness Information**

2 **Q. Please provide your name, employer, and title.**

3 **A.** My name is Joseph M. Stimatz. I am NorthWestern Energy's
4 ("NorthWestern") Manager of Asset Optimization in the Energy Supply
5 group.

6
7 **Q. Please provide a description of your relevant employment
8 experience and other professional qualifications.**

9 **A.** I have over 20 years of experience in the areas of electricity and natural
10 gas trading and marketing, hedging strategy, and asset valuation. I joined
11 NorthWestern in March of 2011 and lead NorthWestern's electric resource
12 optimization efforts. Prior to joining NorthWestern, I co-founded Highland
13 Energy, an energy trading firm that participated in electricity markets
14 throughout the Western Electricity Coordinating Council region. I also
15 worked for Montana Power Trading & Marketing Company and PPL
16 Energy Plus in various positions related to trading, marketing, and portfolio
17 management. I hold a Bachelor's degree in Finance, a Master's in
18 Business Administration, and a Chartered Financial Analyst designation.

19
20 **Purpose and Summary of Testimony**

21 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?**

22 **A.** The purpose is to present an updated Power Costs and Credits
23 Adjustment Mechanism ("PCCAM") Base Costs, discuss changes we have

1 seen in the regional electricity markets, and describe NorthWestern's
2 participation in regional initiatives for the benefit of our customers.

3

4 **Q. Please summarize your testimony.**

5 **A.** The current PCCAM Base Costs are not adequate for NorthWestern to
6 cover the actual costs of electricity, capacity, and fuel purchases. This is
7 the result of changes we have seen in the marketplace, which include
8 much higher electricity and natural gas prices and a shift toward the need
9 for firm capacity contracts. Because of some of the same factors, annual
10 updates to the PCCAM Base Costs are both necessary and potentially
11 beneficial for customers.

12

13

PCCAM Base Costs Update

14 **Q. Please provide an overview of the PCCAM Base Costs.**

15 **A.** The PCCAM Base Costs are a forecast of costs and credits used to
16 develop the rates through which NorthWestern recovers power costs such
17 as fuel and purchased power expenses incurred to serve electric
18 customers. More specifically, Costs and Credits recovered through
19 PCCAM currently consist of: Power Costs and Credits, Purchased
20 Capacity Costs, Qualifying Facilities ("QF") Costs, and any difference
21 between base and actuals. Power Costs and Credits include energy-
22 related costs associated with energy supply and are subject to a sharing
23 provision. Demand Side Management ("DSM"), Montana Public Service

1 Commission (“MPSC” or “Commission”) taxes, and Montana Consumer
2 Counsel (“MCC”) taxes are also recovered through the PCCAM, but are
3 not included in the PCCAM Base Costs that I present in my testimony.
4 The Pre-filed Direct Testimony of Danie L. Williams provides details on the
5 DSM costs. DSM costs, MPSC and MCC taxes, and QF costs are not
6 subject to sharing provisions.

7

8 **Q. What PCCAM Base Costs did the Commission approve in the last**
9 **rate review?**

10 **A.** The Commission last approved total PCCAM Base Costs of \$138,655,703
11 in Order No. 7604u, ¶¶ 94-95, Docket No. 2018.02.012 (Dec. 20, 2019).
12 The 2019 approved PCCAM Base Costs included \$96,353,668 of Power
13 Costs and \$34,650,171 of Power Credits related to Supply/Generating
14 resources, for a net Base Power Costs and Credits of \$61,703,497. The
15 total PCCAM Base Costs also included \$76,952,206 of QF costs.

16

17 **Q. What costs and credits are included in the Base Power Costs and**
18 **Credits?**

19 **A.** The costs include items such as market purchases, fuel for Colstrip Unit 4
20 (“CU4”) and the Dave Gates Generating Station (“DGGS”), and contract
21 costs for Judith Gap and Basin Creek. The credits include revenue from
22 market sales and production tax credits for the hydroelectric generation

1 (“hydros”) and wind facilities. A more specific listing of costs and credits is
2 included in Exhibit JMS-1.

3

4 **Q. Do the PCCAM Base Costs, approved in 2019, reflect an accurate**
5 **estimate of NorthWestern’s current costs?**

6 **A.** No. The PCCAM Base Costs that the Commission approved in 2019 were
7 the result of NorthWestern’s 2018 electric general rate review. The
8 forecast needs to be updated to include more recent market and fuel
9 prices. In addition, there have been changes in NorthWestern’s portfolio
10 of resources that should be reflected in the PCCAM Base Costs.

11

12 **Q. How does the current market for future delivery of electricity**
13 **compare to the market prices that were used to determine the 2019**
14 **Base Power Costs and Credits?**

15 **A.** Today’s prices are much higher. Table 1 below shows the forward curve
16 for July of 2019 through June of 2020, which was used to set the 2019
17 Base Power Costs and Credits, compared with the current forward curve
18 for July of 2022 through June of 2023 at the Mid-Columbia trading hub
19 (“Mid-C”). Note that prices in the summer and winter months have more
20 than doubled since the 2019 update.

21

22

Table 1

	Forward Curve from 2019 PCCAM Base Power Costs/Credits	Current Forward Market
July	\$ 50.80	\$ 79.73
August	\$ 62.94	\$ 140.15
September	\$ 39.25	\$ 99.69
October	\$ 28.83	\$ 71.18
November	\$ 29.17	\$ 69.45
December	\$ 40.53	\$ 91.91
January	\$ 37.54	\$ 82.44
February	\$ 34.78	\$ 73.02
March	\$ 31.67	\$ 48.26
April	\$ 25.48	\$ 31.43
May	\$ 24.25	\$ 29.36
June	\$ 23.18	\$ 38.57
Mid-Columbia all hours prices, \$/MWh		

1 **Q. How does the current market for future delivery of natural gas fuel**
2 **compare with the market prices that were used to determine the 2019**
3 **Base Power Costs and Credits?**

4 **A.** As with the last answer, today’s prices are much higher yet the change
5 has been even more dramatic than the change in electricity prices. Table
6 2 below shows the forward curve for July of 2019 through June of 2020
7 compared with the current forward curve for July of 2022 through June of
8 2023 at the Alberta Energy Company (“AECO”) hub. Prices are more than
9 four times higher on average than they were when the Commission
10 approved the 2019 Base Power Costs and Credits.

11

Table 2

	Forward Curve from 2019 PCCAM Base Power Costs/Credits	Current Forward Market
July	\$ 0.881	\$ 5.556
August	\$ 0.956	\$ 5.367
September	\$ 0.970	\$ 5.409
October	\$ 1.122	\$ 5.351
November	\$ 1.467	\$ 5.673
December	\$ 1.706	\$ 5.889
January	\$ 1.809	\$ 5.921
February	\$ 1.823	\$ 5.917
March	\$ 1.524	\$ 5.196
April	\$ 1.020	\$ 3.751
May	\$ 0.928	\$ 3.498
June	\$ 0.972	\$ 3.493
AECO Natural Gas Prices in \$/MMBtu		

1 **Q. Has NorthWestern’s supply portfolio changed since the Commission**
2 **approved the PCCAM Base Costs in 2019?**

3 **A.** Yes. We have added an 80-megawatt (“MW”) solar QF, which we expect
4 to be online during the 2022-2023 tracker period as well as several
5 capacity purchases that were not in the portfolio when the NorthWestern
6 derived the previous PCCAM Base Costs. In addition, a number of Power
7 Purchase Agreements (“PPA”) that were in the portfolio at that time have
8 expired or otherwise terminated. For example, we had Mid-C purchases
9 that were executed as part of an earlier hedging program that were in the
10 portfolio for the 2019-2020 tracking period, but have since expired. We

1 also had an 80-MW wind QF expected online during that period that never
2 reached commercial operation. Another 80-MW QF wind project was
3 forecast to come online mid-way through the 2019-2020 period. That
4 facility has since come online and should be included in the PCCAM Base
5 Costs for the full year.

6

7 **Q. What PCCAM Base Costs does NorthWestern propose for approval**
8 **in this case?**

9 **A.** NorthWestern proposes total PCCAM Base Costs of \$206,715,885. The
10 total includes Base Power Costs and Credits of \$73,662,254, associated
11 with Supply/Generating resources, which are subject to 90/10 sharing.
12 The anticipated QF costs are \$77,520,939, which are not subject to the
13 90/10 sharing. In addition, NorthWestern expects Purchased Capacity
14 costs of \$55,532,692. For the reasons described below, we propose that
15 capacity costs not be subject to sharing, similar to QF costs. I present the
16 new PCCAM Base Costs in Exhibit JMS-1. These are also summarized in
17 Table 3 below.

Table 3

Costs and Credits	Total
Base Power Costs & Credits	\$ 73,662,254
QF Costs	77,520,939
Purchased Capacity Costs	<u>55,532,692</u>
Total PCCAM Base Costs	<u>\$206,715,885</u>

1 **Q. When does NorthWestern propose the new PCCAM Base Costs to**
2 **take effect?**

3 **A.** NorthWestern proposes the PCCAM Base Costs to take effect on July 1,
4 2022 on an interim basis.

5
6 **Q. How did you develop the proposed PCCAM Base Costs?**

7 **A.** NorthWestern retained Ascend Analytics, LLC to use the PowerSimm™
8 software to help forecast the expected costs and credits on our system.
9 PowerSimm is an analytical software package that combines market
10 dynamics with physical characteristics in power system modeling.
11 PowerSimm creates multiple simulations of weather, load, renewable
12 generation, and market prices. The simulations flow into a dispatch model
13 where the physical parameters of the power system (generators,
14 transmission, ancillary services, etc.) are used to simulate the operation of
15 the power system over a range of possible future outcomes.
16 NorthWestern has used PowerSimm for nearly a decade for services
17 including resource planning, avoided cost modeling, evaluation of
18 Requests for Proposals (“RFP”), and other supply planning activities.
19
20 Note that PowerSimm is used to forecast or estimate energy purchase
21 costs, sales credits, fuel costs, and payments for deliveries under PPAs.
22 Some costs and credits included in the total PCCAM Base Costs, such as

1 the fixed costs of capacity purchases, renewables forecasting costs, and
2 production tax credits, are forecasted outside of PowerSimm.

3

4 **Q. What assumptions and inputs are included in the PowerSimm**
5 **forecast?**

6 **A.** The basic assumptions in the PowerSimm base forecast are the same as
7 those that were needed under the previous methodology for setting
8 PCCAM Base Costs. The key assumptions are:

- 9 1. the forward price of electricity;
- 10 2. the forward price of natural gas;
- 11 3. the expected supply load; and
- 12 4. the resources in the supply portfolio, including those that are
13 expected to come online during the forecast period.

14 Further details regarding the PowerSimm inputs and other assumptions
15 are included in Exhibit JMS-2.

16

17 **Q. How does PowerSimm use the inputs and assumptions to create a**
18 **forecast of energy and fuel costs?**

19 **A.** PowerSimm creates simulated futures or “sim reps.” Each sim rep creates
20 8,760 hourly price and load combinations and the dispatch of resources
21 that would occur in each hour based on the economics of the resources.
22 Each sim rep also includes modeled output from wind, solar, and hydro

1 generation based on historical output. The correlation of the generation,
2 load, and prices is based on several years of hourly data for each.

3

4 **Q. Do you have an exhibit of the resulting PowerSimm outputs used to**
5 **develop the proposed PCCAM Base Costs?**

6 **A.** Yes. Exhibit JMS-3 illustrates the PowerSimm outputs.

7

8 **PCCAM Base Costs Update - Methodology**

9 **Q. Has NorthWestern always used PowerSimm to develop the PCCAM**
10 **Base Costs?**

11 **A.** No. In the past, NorthWestern used a spreadsheet-based methodology,
12 based on NorthWestern's supply portfolio of on-peak and off-peak
13 positions by month. The hourly PowerSimm method used in this case
14 improves upon the prior spreadsheet method in several ways. Using
15 PowerSimm's probabilistic capabilities allows us to capture the load and
16 price shapes more accurately and, as a result, better estimate the
17 dispatch of our resources, the cost of fuel for those resources, market
18 purchases, and market sales.

19

20 The prior spreadsheet methodology treated monthly on-peak and off-peak
21 periods as blocks, assuming the same price, load, and resource output for
22 the entire monthly on-peak and off-peak periods. PowerSimm recognizes
23 that within a month, loads, prices, and resource outputs vary widely, in

1 ways that affect costs. The spreadsheet methodology frequently
2 underestimated costs. The difference in forecasted and actual costs and
3 revenues is explained in the Pre-filed Direct Testimony of Andrew D.
4 Durkin.

5
6 **Q. What other improvements does NorthWestern propose in forecasting**
7 **the PCCAM Base Costs?**

8 **A.** In our forecast, we estimate what energy purchases we will need to serve
9 customers and include those costs in the PCCAM Base Costs. However, in
10 past forecasts, we did not include a forecast of the cost for capacity that we
11 anticipated purchasing, even though we expected it to be needed for
12 resource adequacy and reliable service to customers. As a result, any
13 capacity purchases for these purposes that occurred during the 12-month
14 tracking period were treated as increased costs that were not forecasted,
15 even though we did expect those costs. For a more accurate cost forecast,
16 NorthWestern now includes costs associated with contracts for the purchase
17 of capacity, including those that are anticipated within the 12-month tracking
18 period, but are not yet in the portfolio at the time of forecasting. This is
19 similar to how anticipated purchases of energy have previously been
20 handled in the PCCAM Base Costs.

21

22 **Q. How has NorthWestern forecasted the amount of capacity to include in**
23 **the proposed Base Purchased Capacity Costs?**

1 **A.** We estimated the amount of purchased capacity required as the difference
2 between the capacity need and the total capacity contribution of the
3 resources already in the portfolio. The capacity need is determined by
4 applying a planning reserve margin of 16% to our peak load forecast in the
5 summer and winter seasons. The total capacity contribution of the
6 resources in our portfolio at the time of forecasting is provided in Exhibit
7 JMS-4.

8

9 **Q. How did NorthWestern forecast the cost of the capacity to include in**
10 **the proposed Base Purchased Capacity Costs for approval in this**
11 **docket?**

12 **A.** We estimated the Purchased Capacity Costs based on the same
13 methodology we use in our resource planning process and in calculating
14 avoided costs for QFs. This cost is based on the revenue requirement for a
15 simple-cycle natural gas-fired plant. As of the date of the forecast
16 calculation, the rate is \$168.31 per kW-year.¹

17

18

¹ See Exhibit BKM-9 in the Prefiled Intervenor Testimony of Dr. Brandon K. Mauch in Docket No. 2021.12.134.

1 **PCCAM Base Costs - Annual Updates**

2 **Q. What is NorthWestern’s proposal for future updates to the PCCAM**
3 **Base Costs?**

4 **A.** NorthWestern proposes to update the PCCAM Base Costs annually using
5 the PowerSimm modeling software.

6
7 **Q. Why does NorthWestern support annual updates?**

8 **A.** Quite simply, Base Power Costs and Credits, Base QF Costs, and Base
9 Purchased Capacity Costs that are not updated for several years do not
10 serve the basic role of providing an accurate estimate of actual costs.

11 This is related to both of the two main drivers of supply costs – market
12 conditions and resource availability.

13
14 Forward energy prices are higher than they were in prior years, driven in
15 part by tight capacity conditions. Lower regional capacity margins mean
16 that energy will be difficult to acquire when loads are high. This drives
17 high forward energy prices because of the expectation that prices will
18 spike on very hot and very cold days. An on-peak forward energy price of
19 \$180/megawatt-hour (“MWh”) for August, for example, does not indicate
20 an expectation that the price on each day in August will be close to
21 \$180/MWh; it indicates that on relatively mild August days the price will be
22 much lower and on hot days it will spike to much higher levels.

1 The forward energy market currently reflects these anticipated shortages
2 and potential spikes during high-demand hours. The average, around the
3 clock forward price at Mid-C for July 2022 through June 2023 is
4 approximately \$71.25/MWh, which is at a very high level compared to
5 previous price forecasts. The comparable price for the July 2019 through
6 June 2020 period, which is the basis for the current Base Power Costs
7 and Credits, was \$35.80/MWh. This forward price clearly does not reflect
8 a reasonable expectation of prices over the 12-month tracking period.

9

10 It is important to note that annual updates can be beneficial for customers.
11 NorthWestern proposes annual updates regardless of whether the update
12 results in an increase or a decrease to the PCCAM Base Costs. Since
13 prices are now at a relatively high point, annual updates that reflect the
14 most up-to-date forward prices could very well benefit customers by
15 reducing the PCCAM Base Costs in subsequent periods.

16

17 **Q. Are there other reasons that updating the PCCAM Base Costs**
18 **annually is important?**

19 **A.** Yes. NorthWestern's supply portfolio also changes each year. PPAs
20 expire and new agreements are entered with different price and volume
21 terms as normal portfolio management. In addition, NorthWestern has
22 made capacity purchases for resource adequacy and we expect to
23 continue to do so. We also expect a new QF facility to come online in

1 December 2022, and because QF volumes affect the market purchases
2 and sales, this is reflected in the proposed PCCAM Base Costs. If this
3 facility comes online as expected, it will be in the portfolio for the entire
4 2023-2024 tracking period, and the PCCAM Base Costs should be
5 updated to reflect that. If, like some past QFs, it does not come online as
6 expected, this should also be reflected in the PCCAM Base Costs.
7 Further, we may well have additional QFs online in the following tracker
8 period. However, none of these situations will be appropriately reflected
9 without annual updates to the PCCAM Base Costs. To properly reflect
10 expected costs and credits, it is important to update the model to reflect
11 the latest information about the supply portfolio.

12
13 As is the case with changes in forward prices, updates to the PCCAM
14 Base Costs to reflect portfolio changes can benefit customers. This will be
15 the case, for example, with the QFs that are expected to come online
16 during the 2022-2023 period. An update to the PCCAM Base Costs for
17 the 2023-2024 period would include those QFs for the entire period
18 (assuming that they come online as expected), so the PCCAM Base Costs
19 market purchases will be reduced after they come online.

20
21

1 **Q. How does NorthWestern propose to make updates to the PCCAM**
2 **Base Costs each year?**

3 **A.** NorthWestern proposes to update the key model inputs, as noted above,
4 on an annual basis: 1) the forward price of electricity; 2) the forward price
5 of natural gas; 3) the expected supply load; and 4) the resources in the
6 supply portfolio that will be used to serve the load, including those that are
7 expected online during the forecast period. These changes would include
8 the addition of any new contracts and resources, including QFs, that have
9 come online or that are expected to come online during the year, removal
10 of contracts that have expired, and any other changes to the portfolio.

11 The update will also include a current hydro generation forecast based on
12 the latest available snowpack and streamflow information.

13
14 **Q. How will NorthWestern present the annual update each year?**

15 **A.** We will run PowerSimm with the updated inputs described above and
16 provide an exhibit in the form of Exhibit JMS-1, along with the workpapers
17 supporting the exhibit.

18
19 **Q. What will be the process for NorthWestern filing annual updates?**

20 **A.** In rebuttal testimony in this docket, NorthWestern will provide estimated
21 PCCAM Base Costs to take effect on July 1, 2023 that will be updated in a
22 compliance filing in June 2023. Mr. Durkin discusses this process in more
23 detail.

1 **Changes in the Market**

2 **Q. How have changes in the market affected NorthWestern and its ability**
3 **to serve its customers?**

4 **A.** The tight regional capacity position has made it increasingly important for
5 NorthWestern to procure capacity in advance so that we can ensure
6 meeting peak customer loads. The market changes have made reliance on
7 the short-term energy markets an approach that is not only costly, but also
8 risky from a reliability perspective. If we do not acquire enough capacity to
9 meet load well in advance, we will be at risk of not being able to find the
10 energy in the short-term market.

11
12 **Q. Have market changes spurred NorthWestern to change the way it plans**
13 **to serve customers in the future? Please explain.**

14 **A.** Yes. We have been moving away from an approach where we rely on
15 short-term energy purchases to meet load and toward an approach where
16 we own, or have under long-term contract, the resources we need to meet
17 our load. We believe that this is the prudent approach given the changes
18 we have seen in the market. We will continue to have market purchases as
19 well as market sales, but increasingly the purchases will be based on
20 economic dispatch of our resources rather than necessity to serve load.

21
22 **Q. If NorthWestern does not respond to the changes in the market, how**
23 **will that affect its ability to serve customers?**

1 **A.** If we fail to adapt to the changes in the market, we will be putting reliability
2 at risk. It is increasingly important for us to procure resources in advance
3 rather than relying on short-term market purchases because we have no
4 guarantee that the energy will be available in the market on the most
5 challenging days.

6

7 **Q. What is NorthWestern doing to address the changes in the market?**

8 **A.** For the last several years, we have been working to address our capacity
9 shortfall. We issued the long-term RFP and procured capacity through a
10 short-term RFP and through bilateral negotiations. The status of
11 NorthWestern's portfolio is discussed in more detail in the Pre-filed Direct
12 Testimony of Bleau J. LaFave.

13

14 **Q. What is the energy industry doing to address the changes in the
15 market?**

16 **A.** The region has recognized that the changes I described above demand
17 closer cooperation among utilities in order to provide the appropriate level of
18 reliability for customers at the lowest achievable cost. Some of the efforts
19 that have been undertaken are the development of the Western Resource
20 Adequacy Program ("WRAP") and the Western Energy Imbalance Market
21 ("EIM"). In addition, both the California Independent System Operator and
22 the Southwest Power Pool are working on the further development of
23 organized markets in the West.

1 **Q. Please explain why NorthWestern’s participation in regional efforts,**
2 **like the EIM and the WRAP, is crucial to NorthWestern’s future.**

3 **A.** With the retirement of coal-fired plants and increasing intermittent
4 generation, it has become clear that utilities need to cooperate in order to
5 serve customers in the most efficient way possible. The EIM and WRAP are
6 two examples of this, and we expect that there will be further development in
7 the coming years. All of these efforts require NorthWestern to own or
8 control adequate capacity to participate, and we expect each of them to
9 provide benefits to our customers.

10

11

Resource Adequacy

12 **Q. Please describe the WRAP.**

13 **A.** The WRAP is a regional resource adequacy program being developed by
14 the Western Power Pool (formerly known as the Northwest Power Pool).

15 The goal of the program is for its members to cooperate to provide resource
16 adequacy at lower overall costs than they would be able to achieve absent
17 the program.

18

19

1 **Q. What is the status of the WRAP?**

2 **A.** The program is currently in the implementation of its non-binding phase
3 beginning with the winter of 2022-2023. The expectation is that WRAP will
4 file the tariff required with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for a
5 binding program in the mid-2022, with a target of full implementation in
6 2024.

7
8 **Q. Please identify NorthWestern's efforts and contributions to the WRAP.**

9 **A.** NorthWestern has been a member of the Steering Committee developing
10 the WRAP since 2019. We have worked closely with other utilities in the
11 West on the program requirements, governance, and other issues, and we
12 are participating in the non-binding phase of the program.

13
14 **Q. When it becomes available, will NorthWestern join the binding phase of
15 the WRAP? Please explain.**

16 **A.** We see value for our customers in the WRAP. We believe that the program
17 will help us ensure reliability for our customers while carrying less capacity
18 than we would need for the same level of reliability outside the program.
19 However, we will only be able to join the binding phase of the program,
20 which includes requirements to demonstrate that we own or control the
21 appropriate amount of capacity, if we are confident in our ability to acquire
22 that capacity in advance. For example, we will need the capacity provided

1 by the Yellowstone County Generating Station as part of our portfolio to
2 meet the program requirements.

3

4

Western Energy Imbalance Market

5 **Q. Please describe how the EIM operates.**

6 **A.** The EIM balances fluctuations in supply and demand across a broad
7 footprint using resources offered into the market by the participants. The
8 market platform dispatches the lowest cost resources available to meet load,
9 subject to transmission constraints. The EIM does not provide capacity –
10 each entity must enter each hour with expected load balanced with expected
11 generation, and with enough extra or uncommitted capacity to meet
12 potential fluctuations in that hour. It does, however, take advantage of the
13 diversity of load and generation across the footprint, and the ability to
14 transfer energy among the participants in five-minute intervals.

15

16 **Q. When did NorthWestern join the EIM?**

17 **A.** We signed an implementation agreement in late 2018 and began operations
18 in EIM in June of 2021.

19

20 **Q. How has NorthWestern's entrance into the EIM helped to address the
21 changing market conditions?**

22 **A.** EIM is another way that utilities in the West have recognized that we can
23 provide benefits to our customers by cooperating with each other. EIM

1 allows us to take advantage of the diversity of loads, variable resource
2 output, and dispatchable generation within the hour to balance our systems
3 more efficiently than any of us could without EIM. However, in order to
4 participate in the EIM, we have to be capacity sufficient in each hour. If we
5 do not have sufficient flexible capacity on our own ahead of each hour, we
6 cannot participate in the market.

7

8 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

9 **A.** Yes, it does.

VERIFICATION

This Pre-filed Direct Testimony of Joseph M. Stimatz is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

/s/ Joseph M. Stimatz
Joseph M. Stimatz